

12.
Memo:

Free
of
18-1-22
Subject :- Construction of a new Dharmashala near the Hattimattur Railway Station at a cost of Rs 820/- to be shared equally by the Savanur State & the Karaj Saluka L. Board (existing Dharmashala being in a dilapidated condition & being away from the Railway Station & which is in want of urgent repairs)

The original plan & estimate the latter amounting to Rs 606/- was prepared by the Savanur State overseer in which it was proposed to utilise some of the materials of the old Dharmashala & other materials ^{the} ~~of the old~~ were proposed to be sold for Rs 66/-. In reviewing the plan & estimate the Sub-Divisional Officer Hubli (P.W.D.) recommended the sale of the Dharmashala for Rs 100/- to the Station

Station Master instead of disposing
of the old materials as proposed
by the overseer. [In recommending
this the S. D. officer lost sight of the
proposal of overseer to use old
materials for the new building]

The J. & Board Karajji sanctioned
the sale of the building to the
Station Master for Rs 100/- as
proposed by the S. D. officer.

The overseer Savanur State has
now submitted a fresh estimate
amounting to Rs 820/- (excess of
Rs 214) on the ground that the
old materials can no longer be
utilized.

The A.C. II Dn & Presd! D. H. D. Karajji
has forwarded the corr^e as per reso-
lution of the D. & B.; for advice
to the Managing Committee D. H. D.
recommending that the M.C. D. H. D.
should not sanction the sale of
the Dharmashala.

for orders
I should be much obliged

if the Executive ⁽¹⁰⁵⁾ ~~Eng.~~ ^{Eng.} is
kindly advised.

If the materials of the old
Dharamsala were only worth
Rs 66, & the building was sold
for Rs 100, it is difficult to see
where the loss comes in. The
question seems to be whether the
Savarn Sub. owners estimate
is reasonable or proper or not.

W. M. M. Chel
Pres. S.L.B.

$$\begin{array}{r} 4675 \\ 1761912 \\ \hline 6915 \end{array}$$

No 165 of 1912/13
Dharwar 14th June/12

Forwarded with compliments
to the Executive Engineer Dharwar
District for favour of compliance

$$\begin{array}{r} 214 \\ 66 \\ \hline 148 \end{array}$$

W. M. M. Chel
Chairman
Rev. & the Board

$$\begin{array}{r} 820 \\ 606 \\ \hline 214 \end{array}$$

Dharwar, 18th June 1912.

Returned with compliments.

(5) =

The supplementary estimate for Rs 214/-, it is seen, allows for providing such items as doors and windows, wood-work, lintels & which was omitted from the original estimate of Rs 606/- as these were to be got from the materials available. The Rs 66/- deduction from the latter estimate does not include at all, the value of wood work available for use in the new building, this being done as such items as doors and windows were left out in that estimate for which the wood was to be used. The total value of materials available would, therefore, be very much more than Rs 66/-.

By far the best and cheapest plan would be to dismantle the existing Dharmashalla and utilise as much as possible of the materials in the construction of the new one, and if this is carefully attended to, it might be possible to construct the new building even cheaper than Rs 606/-.

Kenny J. M. Bousens

Ag. Executive Engineer,

Dharwar District.

N.S.B.

19/6

The Chairman, M. C. District Local Board
Dharwar



Under the circumstances the sale of the Dharamshalla should not be sanctioned and the work should be carried out within the original

estimate. If the Lavanar
Sub. Officer (whose conduct
calls for explanation) is not
prepared to carry out the work
for the amount estimated the
J. L. B. Officer should take
over the work.

Dharwar.
3/7/12

Munimolai
Pres. J. L. B.

No 246 of 1912/13
Dharwar 5th July 12

Forwarded with compliments
to the Asst Collector & President
J. L. Board II Division Dharwar
for favour of ^{of other action} ~~necessary steps~~
~~to be taken~~ ~~in connection~~.

Channaiyal,
Prm
D. H. Board

9. IV. 58
5-7-12

(13) 4

Below D. L. B. Chairman's
No 246 of 5-7-1912

No 104 of 1912-13

Forwarded to the Director of Revenue

The Suburban area kindly
be asked to carry out the work
within the regular estimate of
506 lakhs not lifting the estimate
of the 1st Division as suggested
by the Executive Engineer.

The explanation of the Suburban
should please be taken as required
by the Director

If the work cannot be done by
the 5th within the regular
estimate it will be taken up
by the P. L. B. 1/10/12.

M. L. B.
as shown
T. B. B.

13-7-12

Forwarded to the Director
in report

13-7-12
S. B. B.

Rajaraman
Devar P. O.

Davarner. 18 July 1912

Respectfully returned:-

- 2 An estimate for constructing a Sharmshalla, costing Rs 606 near Hathi mattri Rly. Station was framed & submitted for approval of the Executive Engineer, Daewar Dist.
- 3 A simple plan of the Sharmshalla, was designed to suit the requirements of the passengers; and during the preparation of the estimate, such materials from the old Sharmshalla as were available & ~~as~~ were likely to be utilized in the new building, were taken into consideration, & allowance made for them only, when the estimate was framed.
- 4 It has been once made clear, that Rs 66. is not the cost of the whole of the old building, but the cost of the materials only likely

likely to be used ~~in~~ ^{for} the construction of the new Dharmshala.

5 From the correspondence, it will be seen, that the Supervisor P. W. Iyer of the Public Sub-tn, apparently through some mis-understanding thought that the full cost of the old Dharmshala, was Rs 66 & accordingly recommended the sale of the Dharmshala, for Rs 100 & the Board unanimously, resolved to sell the old Dharmshala, without taking any notice of the general description in the Estimate.

6 The sale of the Dharmshala was communicated to the u/s by the Siran Varner State, under his no 808 of 2-12-11 when the u/s was obliged to frame a new estimate, for items omitted in the former one.

7 (1) - It has been never nowhere stated by the u/s that he is not prepared to carry out the

the work, within the estimated amount of Rs 606 using the old materials of the Sharm-shalla.

(2) The original estimate has already been approved by the Executive Engineer (Shanwar Bis)

(3) It has not been stated by the Executive Engineer, that the work can be executed within Rs 606, though the Sharmshalla is sold.

(4) The u/s has not recommended the sale of the old building, not has he calculated the full cost of the building as Rs 66.

8. Under these circumstances, the u/s cannot understand on what particular point, he is called upon to explain; if this is kindly made clear, the

(B) the u/s will be very much
obliged & give the required
explanation.

9 the u/s will be glad
if the work is entrusted to
the T. L. B. Karaggi.

18-7-12
In-²⁹⁹no. 771



Overseer,
SAVNUR STATE.

No 573 of 1912

I forwarded with compliments
to the Assistant Collector T. D. D. D.
A President S. L. B. Karaggi.

2) In receipt of these papers
the Overseer will be asked to

take up the work in hand

3) The contribution one { The T. L. B.

Karaggi may kindly be remitted
as soon as possible

4) As suggested by the President S. L. B.
Karaggi in his no 55 of 23-5-12.

The matter may be referred
to the Subdivisional Officer Subh.
if necessary

Sanam 23-7-12 } Rajmurti
Dinan I. No 62.
30-7-12

No 113 of 1912/13
6047
58194
69
Forwarded with compliance to
the Greater Engineer, Chausa
Replacement of the Sub-divisional
Officer Subh. may kindly be obtained
The papers may be returned
through the Collector

M. S. S. B.
20-8-12

650
7812
28
4761 30.7.12
4761 30.7.12
Shaw 74-10-12 1912
Forwarded in original to the S.D. Officer Subh. Para.
for the explanation asked for & return within seven days.

Henry J. M. B. S.

5/8.
to be returned.

388-500

1/10

107

Below Executive Engineer, D.D.'s No. 4761 dated the ----
7th August 1912.

@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@

No. 875 of 1912.

Hubli; 19th September 1912.

Respectfully returned. In the original estimate a sum -
of Rs. 66-15 for carting &c. or net Rs. 51 as set down as the -
value of the materials that would be available from the old --
building. To this sum of Rs. 51/- I added Rs. 36/- which I ---
estimated would be the cost of the 18.97 Cft. of Matti wood --
also available from the old building. The whole sum -----
Rs. (51 + 36) or Rs. 87/- I took as the value of available ---
materials and as the station master offered Rs. 100/-. I had
no hesitation in recommending ~~this offer~~. It now appears -----
from the correspondence that there is a great deal of other --
material, which is also available. Out of which, no account ---
appears to have been taken in the original estimate. Through -
mis-understanding on my part which I regret very much. I -----
recommended the sale of the old Dharmashalla but I would also .
beg to point out that ^{if} ~~the~~ the estimate had been prepared in a -
clear and workmanlike manner, no such mis-understanding -----
would have arisen and this may kindly be pointed out to the --
Local Board.

Sd./ Mc.Nevin.


Supervisor, H U B L I .

@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@

No. 5800 of 1912.

Office of the Executive Engineer, D. D.

Dharwar; 28th September 1912.



Copy forwarded with compliments to the President T. L. B.
II Division, Dharwar with reference to his No. 113 dated the -
30th July, 1912 for information.

The complete correspondence is herewith returned.

Through:-

The Collector of Dharwar.

Ag. Executive Engineer, D. D.

No 7414. 2/10/12

Forwarded with
Compliments

3/10/12
Eno 117
3-10-12

Whicombe
Collector
Dharam

No 172-91912

Returned to the Director
The Submitter may kindly be asked
to begin the work. It should be
carried out within the original
estimate. The N.P. Karaf 120
will be asked to send in his contribution
on the basis of the original estimate

Mulal

Out 7/10/12
20/12

7-10-12

16-10-12

Ray

En. No 990

No sign

~~Forwarded to the Director~~

~~for the Director~~

Dharam

Jaluka Local Board Karajji, with a request that
he will credit the contribution promised to the District
State, if he has not yet done so, with reference to this office -
No 174 of 7th October 1912.

Recd.
10-11-1912

H. R. Joud.
President L. B.
D. D. Dhanwar

Await for general meeting

10-11-1912

Secretary

No of 1912.

Dated December 1912.

Returned to the Divan of Dhanwar,

The suboverseer should be asked to
begin the work at once. The share of
contribution will be paid this year.

Reappropriation statement have already
been sent to M. C. D. L. B. for approval -

Vice president

J. L. B. Karajji

No. 149 of 1912.

Dated 2nd December 1912.

Respectfully returned

(1) The reappropriation statement has been
submitted to the M. C. D. Dhanwar through
your honour. The amount will be sent to
the Divan of Dhanwar after receipt of sanction.

Handwritten signature
Vice President

6-12-12
lit
J. No 17
8-1

(15) No 702 of 1912

Forwarded with compliments
to the A. P. Agent for personal
& favour of whom

2) in the paper, being returned,
further action will be taken in
the matter



Sanam }
22/10/12 }

Representative
Divan

No 353.

29th October 1912.

Received and returned with compliments
through the A.C. Division with
a request that the V.P. Karajji
P.L.B. will credit the contribution
promised to the Sanam State.

28/10/12 L. B.

4-11-1912

2/11/12

4-11-12 Camp. Honkan, 4th November 1912

Udhannan
A.P.A.

No 192 of 1912

Forwarded to the Vice President

Indra

Below Vice President T. L. B. Kharaji's No 149

of 2nd January 1913

No 238 of 1913

Camp Agadi 8th January 1913.-

Forwarded with compliments to The

Director of Savings, with all the enclosures.

In No 22
14-1-13
2013

H. K. J. J. J.
President 2nd Bd.
S. D. D. D. D.

No 48

Forwarded to the Overseer
for report if the new site for the
Shamshala has been acquired.

22-1-13

S. P. P. P. P.
Over - chary

In-20

441
22-1-13

Respectfully returned

U.S. does not know if the
site in question has been acqui-
red by the Kharji taluka. It is
not to be acquired by the S. L.

No 606

S. P. P. P. P.

The Y. P. cannot help expressing
his surprise at the manner
in which the Y. S. is supposed
to be responsible for the ac-
quisition of the site for the
Dharmashala when it was the
Dharm (vide please see Dharm
No 111 dated 14/2/11 in the correspondence
who selected the site proper
the same to the Asst. Secy. Genl.
Dharm.

The Y. S. has furnished the hon.
to him that the Y. S. was sin-
gled (vide please see Dharm
subsequent No 513 dated 23/1/12) to
acquire the amount of contrib-
ution due from the Kura.

TLOS. The X.P. has never been
asked to proceed in the matter
of the acquisition of the site.

As the work is to be executed
by the same state agencies
the plan & estimate for the work
have ~~been~~^{accordingly} been prepared by the
state. I think it was the duty
of the Overseer to furnish a sketch
plan of the site specifying the
work as well as the men required

from the same to the main body
of the work. Through the Divisional
and request him to take necessary
steps in the time which ought to
have been done long ago to prevent

doing as well as the lapsing of
the amount specially contributed
for the work

Under the above mentioned cir-
-cumstances the Y. S. T. B. Kuruj
has the honor humbly to request
that the Gt. Council be asked to submit the necessary
Plan of the Gt. Council to the Managing
Kuruj. Moreover the Director
as desired above so that the
Managing Kuruj may be
able to take speedy steps in the
matter.

The amount of contribution
will be credited into the

Taluk Treasury go soon
The Reappropriation statements
are got back after the sanction
by the D.L.B.

24/2/13. Vik. Dykes

1-3-13
ms sugar 217
1-3-13

No. 22 of 1912-13.

Camp Kaffinelli, 3 March 1913.

Returned with all the enclosures to the ~~house~~
permit and
Draw of Savant for further necessary action

7-3-13
srs

H. M. Field
Brigadier T. L. B. D.
- Mr. D. H. D. D.

No. 169 of 1913.

Forwarded by the Overseer
Savann state for early compliance or report.

2) The plans may ~~be~~ be
submitted, as desired by the U.P.
S. L. B. and Karaji.

11/3/1913. In R. No. 471
11-3-13

Savann
Savann

(B) 12 ^{N^o. 134 of 1913} Respectfully submitted,

The plan showing the position of the site required for the erection of Dharina shalla near Hattimattur Ry. Station in S.N^o. 93 has been enclosed here with.

In N^o.
443
24/6/13

The site measures 61 x 125 = 7625 S.ft. i.e. 7 Gunthas. & requires to be acquired.

Savanur } *Ramanth*
21/6/13 }

Overseer,
Savanur State



No 4421

Forwarded to the

Minister or Revenue
for acquiring the land

required for the Dhadminda

Savanur } *Ramanth*
25/6/13 }
Thrupa }
Divan

(1) In the name of Nawab

Saransk

(2) President T. L. B. Karajgi -

with compliments

No 401

19th July 1913

Forwarded with Compliments
to the President T. L. B. Karajgi.


awaiting the
reply of the
President
20.7.13

In no: 57

Ashok Nigamkar
Lb

L.F. Nawa
no: 104

22/7/13



Forwarded to the mandaladar of Karajgi

2. The Plan should be verified by the
Circle Inspector.

2. The usual draft notification
together with approximate cost of
acquisition ascertained with the
assistance of Pancharas should be submitted
as early as possible. The willingness of the
owner of the land in question should please
be ascertained as to whether he is willing to
accept the amount estimated by Pancharas.

5013

3.

3 P. no. 9

with those persons
in the neighbourhood of
where there are 'recent' sales
transactions should be sent.

4. It should please stated whether
the S. no. in question is Government
or Private. If Private extract from
V. P. no. 9 relating to the S. no. should
be sent.

Enclosure
7/2

[Signature]
Assistant Commissioner.

Encl. 1/2

26/7/13

202.


[Signature]

No. of 1913

Haveri 26 July 1913

Forwarded to the Chief Inspector
Muralaya for Compliance

(2) The Chief Inspector when
he visits the village for verification
of the plan should also give effect



ft notification
will be submitted
from this office

Bring her home
28/7/13
lla

Mountain

The D. J. has been
requested to send the copy
Zippin at 5.11.94
30/11

1 :